App. F. IX-4

1926-7

File No. 94-25

Previous References

Med 94-25 Sl 1-4

(Med.)

Serial Nos. 5, 6, 7 & 8.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Four,

Part B for October 1926.

SUBJECT

Re.

The revised rate of pay Sanctioned to the Lady Sub-ordinates,

To

The Senior-Surgeon.

No. G. 3967/01 11/10/26

States that Govt will have no objection for the grant of one or two advance increments in deserving Cases if full Report for his recommendation are given. 25/11/26

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | (P.W.D.) File No. 94 of 1926

Serial No.

5

From the Surgeon in Negapatam No 218-63 of 19.7.1926.

Requests the issue of instructions from Controller to give effect to the revised rates of pay sanctioned to the Lady medical Subordinates in P.W. no 4 8919-25/med 94-25-4 of 5.5.26 with effect from 5.1.1926. & states that the source for meeting the amount sanctioned will be pointed out later on during the current year.

from 5.1.26 to 5.1.26 wd be

1) Submd. The file on the subject has been put up as per ref to the file re revision of Cadre of Sub Asst Surgeons ~~to be abolished and to be~~ The return of the file will be awaited, if approved.

VBG
21/8/26

2 — Jr Gestetner Bang
Draft cy please
NSK
11/8/26

P.W.D. requires Controller's views
dt. 16/8/26.

3 Submd for orders. The Senior Surgeon requires to find funds for the additional expenditure by re-classifications. Finance Secy has perhaps to be consulted in the matter.

4 Another letter received from the Senior Surgeon on 1/9/26 requesting

P W D
2

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

for reasons given, the Sex allowance of Miss In. Balasundaram, Miss A. Murphy and Miss A. Jacquerion is also paid for arrears. In this G.S. connection Mind ~~of~~ reference is invited to para 7 of the note in med file 94-25 but as before, whether relaxation the Financial Secretary's opinion re the inclusion of the sex allowance in the initial pay to be fixed in the case of these officers, has been asked ~~for~~

2/6.

2/12.

MSK 2/9/26

Who are the lady assistant surgeons who were stated to be Japanese Apothecaries who ~~were~~ Foreign born Servants? Has their pay been revised as in this case.

MO 4/9

There are two matters for consideration (1) the revision of scale of pay of lady Subassistant Surgeons was ordered

General and Revenue Secretariat

Revenue File No. 94 of 1925

Serial No.

A

in January but the allocation was agreed only in May 26. The Surgeon proposes that the allocation be agreed to take effect from January. There seems to be no objn to this.

(2) The Ladies mentioned in the Surgeon's letter were getting a Sex allce of Rs 10/- before the revision of grades. He proposes that they may be allowed to draw the allce in addition to the pay they have been given in the revised grade in consideration of long service. The pay of these ladies was fixed in accordance with the provisions of the service regulations and the concession of reckoning the sex allce as part of the pay in fixing the revised pay has been shown. So far pay high in view of the sex allce so far drawn and therefore the sex allce continue would seem to be incorrect. It does not seem necessary to sanction this purpose

vide para 7. He sees is note in column placed below

7/9

Secy

First Member

Fin Secy

Rly 6/9

Secy 4/9

FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT 2757 6. SEP. 1925

A. I agree to this. There is no financial objn.

(The

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

7759

reference to retrospective effect in para 7 of note of 8.3.26 (not to be otherwise mentioned). The second request cannot be granted. It may point out that the Senior Surgeon is not entitled in his views. This is what he wrote in his letter of 15.1.26:

"In view of the substantial increase of pay now given .... the new allowance of the first 4 in the list will come from the date of their drawing pay in the revised scale."

h
2-9-26

12 Point from the note
Draft as above, but add that the Secy to the Govt of India proposes one or two advance increments in deserving cases, & the full reasons.

28/29
9/9

de
9/9.

9/9. 9

il
to fl Subs Surgeon
C&G 13/9/26

MSR
13/9/26

Secy
First Member 17/9

Japel. Issue this
12 13 de 20/9 1 22/9.

To Journal before issue

Secretary
[illegible]
NOTE—Contn. Sheet No.....

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 65 of 192

Serial No. 14

H757

I would respectfully suggest the last sentence in the draft being communicated by a separate D.O. In fixing the initial pay of the several medical officers, possible concession has been shown. Originally the Swiss Surgeon proposed more than an advancement and Govt. did not approve of his recommendation.

25.9.26.

The draft is accepted as suggested by Govt. Letter will issue after perusal. The idea of the last sentence will be communicated by separate letter.

Yes RG 29/9

Forwarded. 29/9

Govt. RG 29/9

3617 30/9

Issued. 30/9

Issue draft and D.O. as proposed

RG 30/9.

Issue draft and do. do. both as proposed

30/9

H. 1091/26

Submitted with draft & fair copies. Vide H. Secy's note above

To. The Controller Bom 5/10 HMK 5/10/26

Z13037/dated 9-10-26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.	
7	To The Senior Surgeon The Comptroller through The Final Secy. No 9 3604-7 Dt 4.10.1926 Draft that the increase to pay sanctioned to Madras Sub asst Surgeons be given effect to from 5.1.26 as stated that it is not possible to sanction the present recommendation that the Senior Grade Sub asst Surgeons should be allowed to retain their Lat allowance also.
8	To The Senior Surgeon No 9 3964 Dt 11.10.1926. States that Govt will have no objection to consider proposals for the grant of one or two advance increments in deserving cases provided he gives full reasons for his recommendation.

18/10/26

19/10/26

0355

Med 94-25-5

No. G.C.218-63 Office of the Senior Surgeon in Mysore,
Enc. Bangalore, dated 19th July 1926.

From

Dr. B. Mahomed Usmon, L.M.S.,
Senior Surgeon with the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN. & SECTT.
Rs ..... To
20. JUL. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Medical & Agricultural Depts.,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. G.8919-20/Med. 94-25-4, dated 5-5-1926, sanctioning the allocation of the existing Lady Sub Assistant surgeons in the new grade, I have the honour to invite a kind reference to my letter No. G.C.218-42, dated 20-3-1926, on the subject in which the new rates of pay were recommended to be given to the individuals from the date of the order sanctioning the revised scale . But as the point was not made clear by the addition of "from 5-1-26" to the heading of Col.4 of the statement of allocation, the Comptroller insists on allowing the revised rates only from 5-5-26, the date sanctioning the

1152

No. G.C.218-65/24-25 Office of the Senior Surgeon,
Bangalore, dated 30th August 1926.

94-25-6

From

Dr. B.Mohomed Usmon, L.M.S.,
Senior Surgeon with the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to the Government of H.H the Maharaja
of Mysore, Medical and Agricultural Departments,
Bangalore

GEN. & REV. SECTT
BR.
31. AUG. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No.G.3919-20/Med. 94-25-4 dated 5th May 1926 sanctioning the allocation of Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons, I have the honor to state that owing to the absence of specific mention in the Government order that the sex allowance was merged in the revised scale of pay, certain lady Sub Assistant Surgeons are reported to have continued to draw their sex allowances in addition to the revised pay and the same has been objected to by the Comptroller and ordered to be recovered. A copy of applicatio received by Lady Sub Assistant Surgeon Miss. Joacquim is herein enclosed as an instance. It is really hard on these senior hands who have been enjoying the benifit of sex allow from a very long time to be required to forego the same.

Against the 15 years required by the new recruits to rea the maximum pay viz: Rs150/-, Mrs. Balasundaramma who ha already put in 4 years more has still to wait for a further period of 5 years and the others who have put in long s vice as noted in the margin will have to put further period nearly equal to 15 years as noted against each.

Name	Total service already put in	Service required to reach the maximum.
Mrs Amba Bai	11 years	12 years
" Murphy	10 years	12 years
MissJoacquim	9 years	13 years.

I therefore recommend that the four senior Lady Sub

Letter No.27 dated 9/3/26, from Miss.M.Joaquim, Lady Sub Assistant Surgeon, Female Dispensary, Chintamani, to the Senior Surgeon in Mysore, Bangalore.

I beg to report that in accordance with your office No.G.C.218-57dated 14/5/26, having gone through the Government Proceedings L.4817-8 Med.54-24-13, dated 5/8 Jan 1926, I drew up my sex allowance as usual, but the Auditor in his No.136 TADA dated 3/7/26, objects to it and wants me to refund the same amount. The Lady Apothecaries and the Lady Surgeons who were started with me and the sex allowance in 1919, are still drawing this sex allowances as such, I beg that I may be allowed to draw the same allowance and not refund the amount that I had already drawn.

" C o p y "

S. Shivaram Rao

For Senior Surgeon.

ale

Med 94-25-7

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore.

(10

Read:-

G.O.No.G.8919-20/Med.94-25-4 dated 5th April 1926 fixing the pay of certain Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons, under the revised scale of pay sanctioned for this class of officers in G.O.No.L,4817-8/Med.54-24-13 dated the 5th/8th January 1926.

2. Letter No.G.C.218-63 of 24-25 dated the 19th July 1926 from the Senior Surgeon in Mysore requesting sanction to the grant of the revised rates of pay to the Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons referred to above from 5-1-1926.

3. Letter No.G.C.218-65 of 24-25 dated the 30th August 1926 from the same officer recommending that four Senior Lady Sub Assistan Surgeons mentioned therein may be permitted to retain their sex allowance in addition to their present pay in accordance with the order No.G.7301-3/Med.129-21-2 dated the 13th June 1922.

Order No. G.3604-7.

Dated Bangalore, 4th Sept 1926.

Government are pleased to direct that the increases to pay sanctioned in the Government Order of 5th/8th April 1926, read above, be given effect to from the 5th January 1926.

As the pay of these officers in the revised scale was fixed after taking into consideration the sex allowance that they had been ~~taking~~ getting previously and on the understanding that such sex allowances we cease,

D. O. No. G 3967 GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Bangalore, Dated 11/10/26

Med 94-25-8

Dear Sir,

With reference to Government Order No. G. 3967 Mis 94-25-7 dated the 4th October 1926 regarding the sex allowance of certain Lady Sub Assistant Surgeons which is issuing separately today, I am desired to say that while in the circumstances pointed out in the order, your proposal to continue the allowance could not be accepted, Government will have no objection to consider proposals for the grant of one or two advance increments in deserving cases provided you give full reasons for your recommendation.

Yours sincerely,

B. MAHOMED USMON, Esq., L. M & S.,

Senior Surgeon in Mysore,

BANGALORE.

E.